

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,733	04/23/2001	Theron D. Tock	06502.0614-03	5067
60667	7590 06/05/2006		EXAMINER	
	OSYSTEMS/FINNEGA	WOOD, WILLIAM H		
	ORK AVENUE, NW ON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	

DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/840,733	TOCK, THERON D.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William H. Wood	2193			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHOI WHICH - Extensic after SI) - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠ T 3)□ S	tesponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Oc</u> his action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This ince this application is in condition for allowand losed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition	n of Claims					
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	claim(s) 5-11 is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed. claim(s) 5-11 is/are rejected. claim(s) is/are objected to. claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or papers	vn from consideration.				
10) Th A R 11) Th Priority un 12) Ac a) 1 1	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception and acception and acception acception and acception acceptance acception acceptance acc	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to some sequired if the drawing(s) is objected. So have been received in Application ity documents have been received.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152. sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.			
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , ,	d.			
2) Notice of 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date 10142005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 2193

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 5-11 are pending and have been examined.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 5-11 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,233,346. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a preload module partitioned into a first submodule and a second submodule; the second submodule having a

4

Application/Control Number: 09/840,733 Page 3

Art Unit: 2193

subset of the instructions and a subset of the data that are modifiable during execution and an initialization module as claimed.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 14 October 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The double patenting rejection is maintained for at least the commonly claimed subject matter (described above). The narrow patent claim still discloses the broader patent application claim. Applicant's Declaration is correct with regard to "37 C.F.R 1.56(a)".

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2193

Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Wood whose telephone number is (571)-272-3736. The examiner can normally be reached 9:00am - 5:30pm Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571)-272-3719. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained form either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR systems, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. For questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

William H. Wood Patent Examiner AU 2193 May 30, 2006

Kouai Un.

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100